Robot Dynamics and Control

Nov 2018

Outline

- Dynamics
 - Model Identification
- Control
 - Natural (passive) systems
 - Computed torque control (decoupling)
 - Force (Compliance) Control
 - New trends in robotics

Dynamics - review

• Dynamics equation of motion:

 $M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + \tau_f(q,\dot{q}) = \tau + \tau_e$

M(q): Symmetric and Positive Definite:

- $M(q) = M^T(q)$
- $\boldsymbol{q}^T \boldsymbol{M}(\boldsymbol{q}) \boldsymbol{q} > 0, \ \forall \boldsymbol{q} \neq \boldsymbol{0}$
- $\dot{M} 2C$: skew symmetric

 $au_f(q, \dot{q}) = F_v \dot{q} + F_c \operatorname{sgn}(\dot{q})$:Friction model (viscous + columb) $au_e = J^T F_e$:External force:

Dynamic Model Identification

 $M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + \tau_f(q,\dot{q}) = \tau + \tau_e$

- How to get M(q) for a robot ? (aka Dynamic Model Identification)
 - M(q) requires knowledge of mass (m_k) , Centre Of Mass (r_k) , inertia about COM (I_k) of each link.



• Dynamics are linear in dynamic parameters

 $M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + \tau_f(q,\dot{q}) \coloneqq Y(q,\dot{q},\ddot{q})\Theta = \tau$

- Each link gives 10 inertial parameters:
 - 1:mass (*m_k*),
 - 3:Centre Of Mass (r_k),
 - 6: inertia about COM (I_k) for each link k.
- Friction force add 2 more parameters.
- Gravity terms





Model identification:

 $M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + \tau_f(q,\dot{q}) \coloneqq Y(q,\dot{q},\ddot{q})\Theta = \tau$

- Each link gives 10 inertial parameters:
 - 1:mass (*m_k*),
 - 3:Centre Of Mass (r_k),
 - 6: inertia about COM (I_k) for each link k.

Identification Methods:

- Computer-aided design (CAD) e.g. Solid works not accurate
- Dynamic model regression methods

common practice in industry

• Move robot along trajectories and measure joint motions q, \dot{q}, \ddot{q} and torques τ

 $Y(q,\dot{q},\ddot{q})\Theta=\tau$



- **Problem: Problem: pr**
- Find Θ_b : minimum # of parameters to characterize dynamics
 - $\Phi_b \Theta_b = X$, use SVD or QR factorization of Φ to find Θ_b
- Procedure:
 - Robot is moved along a trajectory and joint motions q, \dot{q} , \ddot{q} and torques au are measured
 - Use weighted least square method to find Θ_b that minimize $||\Phi_b \Theta_b X||_W$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Theta}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} := \left(\mathbf{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{T} W \mathbf{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{T} W X$$

- Procedure:
 - Robot is moved along a trajectory and joint motions q, \dot{q} , \ddot{q} and torques τ are measured
 - Use weighted least square method to find Θ_b that minimize $||\Phi_b \Theta_b X||_W$

$$\widehat{\mathbf{\Theta}}_{\boldsymbol{b}} := \left(\mathbf{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{T} W \mathbf{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{b}} \right)^{-1} \mathbf{\Phi}_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{T} W \boldsymbol{X}$$

- To get good result, experiment design is important
- Trajectory/path as a sum of sinusoid and covers all joint motions
- Videos: Handbook of Robotics

Dynamic identification of Kuka KR270: trajectory with load Dynamic identification of Kuka LWR : Trajectory without load Dynamic identification of Staubli TX40 : Trajectory with load

Control

• Dynamics equation of motion:

 $M(q)\ddot{q} + C(q,\dot{q})\dot{q} + G(q) + \tau_f(q,\dot{q}) = \tau + \tau_e$

• How to choose τ s.t. robot do what we want?

Autonomous Robots: Special issue on selected papers from Robotics: Science and Systems 2011

Optimal Variable Stiffness Control: Formulation and Application to Explosive Movement Tasks

> David J. Braun, Matthew Howard and Sethu Vijayakumar



With **optimal** choice of τ : d = 5 m

Without **optimal** τ : d = 4 m

Natural (passive) systems

- Review of simple 2nd order system:
 - Lagrange formulation



• Dissipative systems



2nd order system

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{b}{m}\dot{x} + \frac{k}{m}x = 0$$
$$\ddot{x} + 2\xi_n\omega_n\dot{x} + \omega_n^2x = 0$$

Natural frequency $\omega_n = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$; $\xi_n = \frac{b}{2\sqrt{km}}$ Natural damping ratio $x(t) = ce^{-\xi_n \omega_n t} \cos(\omega_n \sqrt{1 - \xi_n^2}t + \phi)$ x(t)x(t)x(t)(t





Higher $\boldsymbol{\omega}$: faster response Higher $\boldsymbol{\zeta}$: slower response $\boldsymbol{\zeta} = \mathbf{1}$: optimal choice

Use **Control** to make robots behave like a **dissipative** system

1-dof Robot Control

$$\overrightarrow{\textbf{m}} \rightarrow \textbf{f} \qquad m\ddot{x} = f$$

$$m\ddot{x} = f = -k_p(x - x_d) - k_v \dot{x}$$
$$m\ddot{x} + k_v \dot{x} + k_p(x - x_d) = 0$$

Velocity gain

Position gain

Proportional + Derivative

$$1. \ddot{x} + \frac{k_{v}}{m} \dot{x} + \frac{k_{p}}{m} (x - x_{d}) = 0$$

$$1. \ddot{x} + 2\xi \omega \dot{x} + \omega^{2} (x - x_{d}) = 0$$

$$\xi = \frac{k_{v}}{2\sqrt{k_{p}m}} \text{ closed loop } \omega = \sqrt{\frac{k_{p}}{m}} \text{ closed loop frequency}$$

How to deal with nonlinearities?

Non Linearities $m\ddot{x} + b(x,\dot{x}) = f$ **Control Partitioning** $f = \alpha f' + \beta$ $\alpha = \hat{m}$ with $\beta = \hat{b}(x, \dot{x})$ $m\ddot{x} + b(x, \dot{x}) = \hat{m}f' + \hat{b}(x, \dot{x})$ $\rightarrow 1. \ddot{x} = f'$ ŵ System (x, \dot{x}) $\hat{b}(x,\dot{x})$ Unit mass system

Trajectory Tracking $x_d(t); \dot{x}_d(t); \text{ and } \ddot{x}_d(t)$ Control: $f' = \ddot{x}_d - k'_v(\dot{x} - \dot{x}_d) - k'_p(x - x_d)$ Closed-loop System: $(\ddot{x} - \ddot{x}_d) + k'_v(\dot{x} - \dot{x}_d) + k'_p(x - x_d) = 0$ with $e \equiv x - x_d$ $\ddot{e} + k'_v \dot{e} + k'_p e = 0$

Disturbance Rejection





General Case:

Nonlinear Dynamic Decoupling $M(\theta)\ddot{\theta} + V(\theta,\dot{\theta}) + G(\theta) = \tau$ $\tau = \hat{M}(\theta)\underline{\tau'} + \hat{V}(\theta,\dot{\theta}) + \hat{G}(\theta)$

$$\mathbf{1}.\ddot{\theta} = (M^{-1}\hat{M})\tau' + M^{-1}[(V - \hat{V}) + (G - \hat{G})]$$

with perfect estimates

 $\mathbf{1}.\ddot{\theta} = \tau' + \varepsilon(t)$

au': input of the unit-mass systems

$$\tau' = \ddot{\theta}_d - k'_v (\dot{\theta} - \dot{\theta}_d) - k'_p (\theta - \theta_d)$$

Closed-loop

$$\ddot{E} + k'_{v}\dot{E} + k'_{p}E = 0 + \varepsilon(t)$$

Rule of thumb: V ≈ 0 usually don't estimate - time consuming to compute M , G: good estimate

- Gravity Compensation : $\mathbf{\tau} = \mathbf{\hat{G}} (\mathbf{\theta})$
- -> the robot is free in the air (150K \$ robot)



Practical issues for choosing Controller Gains

Performance

High Gains —— better disturbance rejection

Gains are limited by structural flexibilities time delays (actuator-sensing) sampling rate

$$\omega_{n} \leq \frac{\omega_{res}}{2} \qquad \longleftarrow \text{ lowest structural flexibility}$$
$$\omega_{n} \leq \frac{\omega_{delay}}{3} \qquad \longleftarrow \text{ largest delay} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\tau_{delay}}\right)$$
$$\omega_{n} \leq \frac{\omega_{sampling-rate}}{5}$$



Tacoma Narrows Bridge (1940)

Rule of thumb: **ω** = (3 to 10 Hz)*2π ζ = 1

Task Oriented Control (Operational Space Control)

- Human does not do Joint Space Control
- Task space control is more intuitive



Task-Oriented Equations of Motion



Non-Redundant Manipulator ; n = m

$$x = (x_1 x_2 \dots x_m)^T$$
$$q = (q_1 q_2 \dots q_n)^T$$



Operational Space Dynamics

$$M_x(x)\ddot{x} + V_x(x,\dot{x}) + G_x(x) = F$$

- x: End-Effector Position and Orientation
- $M_x(x)$: End-Effector Kinetic Energy Matrix
- $V_x(x, \dot{x})$: End-Effector Centrifugal and Coriolis forces
- $G_{x}(x)$: End-Effector Gravity forces
- **F**: End-Effector Generalized forces

Joint Space/Task Space Relationships

Kinetic Energy

 $K_{x}(x,\dot{x}) \equiv K_{q}(q,\dot{q})$ $\frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^{T}M_{x}(x)\dot{x} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{T}M(q)\dot{q}$ Using $\dot{x} = J(q)\dot{q}$

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{T}(J^{T}M_{x}J)\dot{q} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^{T}M\dot{q}$$

Joint Space/Task Space Relationships

 $M_{x}(x) = J^{-T}(q) M(q) J^{-1}(q)$ $V_{x}(x, \dot{x}) = J^{-T}(q) V(q, \dot{q}) - M_{x}(q) h(q, \dot{q})$ $G_{x}(x) = J^{-T}(q) G(q)$

where $h(q, \dot{q}) \doteq \dot{J}(q)\dot{q}$

Nonlinear Dynamic Decoupling

 $\frac{\text{Model}}{M_x(x)\ddot{x} + V_x(x,\dot{x}) + G_x(x)} = F$ $\frac{\text{Control Structure}}{F = \hat{M}(x)F' + \hat{V}_x(x,\dot{x}) + \hat{G}_x(x)}$ $\frac{\text{Decoupled System}}{I\ddot{x} = F'}$ with $\tau = J^T F$

Trajectory Tracking Trajectory: x_d , \dot{x}_d , \ddot{x}_d $F' = I \ddot{x}_{d} - k'_{v} (\dot{x} - \dot{x}_{d}) - k'_{n} (x - x_{d})$ $(\ddot{x} - \ddot{x}_d) + k'_v(\dot{x} - \dot{x}_d) + k'_v(x - x_d) = 0$ or $\ddot{\varepsilon}_{x} + k'_{v}\dot{\varepsilon}_{x} + k'_{p}\varepsilon_{x} = 0$ In joint space $\ddot{\varepsilon}_{q} + k_{v}'\dot{\varepsilon}_{q} + k_{p}'\varepsilon_{q} = 0$ with $\mathcal{E}_x = x - x_d$

with $\varepsilon_q = q - q_d$

Overview of the Controller

Task-Oriented Control



Compliance Control Force Control



Stiffness $\ddot{z} + k'_{v}\dot{z} + k'_{p_{z}}(z - z_{d}) = 0$ détermines stiffness along z Closed-Loop Stiffness: $\hat{M}_{x}k'_{n} = k_{n}$ $F = K_{x}(x - x_{d})$ $\tau = J^T F = J^T K_{r} \Delta x = (J^T K_{r} J) \Delta \theta = K_{\theta} \Delta \theta$ $K_{\theta} = J^{T}(\theta)K_{x}J(\theta)$

Applications

Compliance Control

