

CMPUT 272 Winter 2001: Section B3

Final Exam

Tuesday, April 17

Time: 120 minutes

Weight: 50%

Total Points: 75

Last Name:
First Name:
Unix ID:

- This exam is **open book**
- This exam should have 9 pages and 8 questions. You are responsible for checking that your exam is complete.
- Unless otherwise specified, you may use whichever of HR or GT notation you prefer.
- If you need additional paper it is available from a proctor.

Question	Mark	
1		10
2		10
3		13
4		10
5		4
6		8
7		10
8		10
Σ		75

Question 1 [10 points]

This question requires you to do a mizar derivation.

- Use only the basic rules of inference, namely NE, NI, CE, CI, DE, DI, IE, II, EqE, EqI, RE, ContrI.
- Each step requires a justification (i.e. a **reference** to the formulas it is inferred from) and an annotation (i.e. the **name** of the inference rule used).
- You may use GT connectives, and omit writing the “[]” after propositions,

environ

```
pr1: (B[] implies A[]) implies (C[] or D[]);  
pr2: not C[] & not D[];
```

begin

```
claim: not A[]  
proof
```

Question 2 [10 points]

$$\text{Let } T(n) = \begin{cases} 2 \cdot T(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor) + n & n > 1 \\ 0 & n = 1 \end{cases}$$

Use induction to prove that $T(n) \leq n \log_2 n$ for all natural numbers $n \geq 1$. Clearly indicate the base case, induction step, and induction hypothesis. Also indicate where the induction hypothesis is used.

Question 3 [13 points]

The following procedure takes an array A as input. The output is a value res together with the boolean flag $valid$. When the program exits, res is intended to hold the sum of the inverses of A 's elements, unless a 0 entry is encountered, in which case $valid$ is false.

Sum of Inverses

```

Nat n;
Rat A[1..n], res;
Boolean valid;
Preconditions: (none)
Nat k;
k, res, valid := 1, 0, true;
do
  Variant: ?
  Invariant:  $\left( res = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{A[i]} \right) \wedge (\neg valid \Rightarrow k \leq n)$ 
  [] k = n + 1  $\xrightarrow{\text{exit}}$ 
  [] not valid  $\xrightarrow{\text{exit}}$ 
  [] k ≤ n & valid →
    if
      [] A[k] = 0 →
        valid := false;
      [] A[k] ≠ 0 →
        res := res + (1/A[k]);
        k := k + 1;
    fi
od
Postconditions: valid ⇒ res =  $\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{A[i]}$ 

```

3.a [2 pts]: State a variant for the loop. (without proof)

In the following you may assume that the loop terminates and that one of the guards always evaluates to true.

3.b [9 pts]: Prove that the invariant is true at the beginning of each iteration of the loop.

3.c [2 pts]: Prove that the postcondition is true when the loop exits.

Question 5 [4 points]

The truth table for the logical connective **xor** is:

π	ψ	π	xor	ψ
T	T		F	
T	F		T	
F	T		T	
F	F		F	

5.a [2 pts]: Show that the following elimination rule for **xor** sound: $\frac{\pi \text{ xor } \psi}{\pi \text{ or } \psi}$

5.b [2 pts]: Propose a sound introduction rule for **xor**.

Question 6 [8 points]

For functions f, g , determine whether the following statements are true or false.

Provide a counterexample for each false claim. (No justification is required for true claims.)

Recall that the order of composition is: $(f;g)(x) = g(f(x))$.

1. $\forall f, g$ if $f;g$ is 1-1 then f is 1-1.

2. $\forall f, g$ if $f;g$ is onto then f is onto.

3. $\forall f, g$ if f is total then $f;g$ is total.

4. $\forall f, g$ if f is not 1-1 then f^\sim is not a function.

Question 7 [10 points]

Let R be a relation on the set A . Provide a derivation showing that if $R \circ R \subseteq R$ then R is transitive.

To save time writing:

- you may use GT notation
- you may abbreviate the composition of R with itself by $R \circ R$
- you may abbreviate $\text{In}[x, y, R]$ as either $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ or xRy
- you may abbreviate $\text{Subset}[S, T]$ as $S \subseteq T$

environ

```

reserve r,s,t for RELATION;
reserve x,y,z for ELEMENT;
CompositionDef: for r,s,t holds Composition[r,s,t] iff
  (for x,z holds In[x,z,t] iff (ex y st In[x,y,r] & In[y,z,s]));
TransitivityDef: for r holds Transitive[r] iff
  (for x,y,z st In[x,y,r] & In[y,z,r] holds In[x,z,r]);
SubsetDef: for r,s holds Subset[r,s] iff
  (for x,y st In[x,y,r] holds In[x,y,s]);
Given R being RELATION;

```

begin

```

claim: Subset[R \circ R, R] implies Transitive[R] == where Composition[R,R,R \circ R] holds
proof

```

Question 8 [8 points]

Prove that $a \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} b$ and $c \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} d$ implies that $a + c \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} b + d$.

For example: if $1 \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} 8$ and $2 \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} 16$ can we conclude $3 \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} 24$?

Structure your argument like a mizar derivation. You may use facts about integer arithmetic.

environ:

Mod7Def: $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{Z} \left(x \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} y \Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{Z} (x - y = 7n) \right)$;

begin

claim: $\forall a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z} \left((a \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} b) \wedge (c \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} d) \Rightarrow (a + c \equiv_{\text{mod } 7} b + d) \right)$

proof